

## Implementation of disease-based standard order sets in emergency department of tertiary care hospital, Pakistan — a novel approach for enhancing patient care

Feroza Parveen,<sup>1</sup> Asif Khaliq,<sup>2</sup> Nadeem Ullah Khan,<sup>3</sup> Zainab Mazhar,<sup>4</sup> Aisha Akram,<sup>5</sup> Khusro Shamim<sup>6</sup>

### Abstract

**Objectives:** To evaluate the efficacy of disease-based standard order sets in reducing time of order entry, order processing and medication dispensation in emergency department of a tertiary care hospital.

**Methods:** The pilot study was conducted as part of a retrospective clinical audit using pre- and post-intervention design comprising data from July to September 2013 of the emergency department of a tertiary care hospital in Karachi. Data collected related to the reduction in medicine order entry, processing and dispensing time of eight common emergency conditions with standard order set. Subsequently, standard medication orders for the selected medical conditions were developed together with physicians of emergency and other specialties. Post-intervention data was collected and the two data sets were compared using SPSS version 23.0.

**Results:** Mean medication order entry and processing time from the physician end reduced from 67.7±22.7 seconds to 20.5±7.1 seconds. Mean medication order processing and dispensing time at pharmacist end reduced from 70.0±22.4 to 20.6±8.8 seconds. The difference between pre- and post-intervention values was significant ( $p < 0.001$ ).

**Conclusion:** Implementation of disease-based standard order set significantly improved efficiency.

**Keywords:** Standard, Order sets, Emergency department, Disease, time efficiency. (JPMA 70: 2159; 2020)

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.1281>

### Introduction

The emergency department (ED) is often considered the first point of contact for many patients.<sup>1</sup> It is a gateway to our health system due to lack of primary healthcare. It is one of the most sensitive and critical areas of the healthcare system and provides essential care to the ill and injured patients.<sup>1,2</sup>

In modern healthcare systems, there are numerous challenges within the ED, such as over-crowding, long waiting hours, diminished resources and increased demand.<sup>3</sup> Moreover, patient's expectation for quick and accurate assessment in ED, cost-effective care and timely disposition are on the rise.<sup>4</sup> Both overcrowding and over-expectation leads to delays and dissatisfaction for both patients and physicians and increases chances of error.<sup>5,6</sup>

Implementation of an effective emergency care system could benefit in reducing the disability and mortality rate by one-third to half in low and middle income countries (LMICs), according to the estimates of the Disease Control Priorities Project (DCPP).<sup>1</sup> One of the best strategies to improve therapeutic outcome among critically ill patients is

to reduce the time between the onset of symptoms and the initiation of therapy.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, provision of timely and effective care can reduce complications and hospitalisation among the critically ill patients visiting ED.<sup>7,8</sup>

It has been observed that devising medication standardisation prescribing and dispensing system could help both physicians and pharmacists to save time and effort in ED with increased patient influx. Medicine order sets are the essential tools that can save time, improve working efficiency, reduce hospitalisation and help to overcome potential errors.<sup>9</sup> The current study was planned to assess the impact of disease-based standard order sets in reducing the time of physicians and the pharmacist in prescribing, processing and dispensing medication order.

### Materials and Methods

The pilot study was conducted as part of a retrospective clinical audit using pre- and post-intervention design comprising data from July to September 2013 of the ED of Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, which has an estimated annual ED turnover of more than 65,000 patients. After approval from the Department Heads of Pharmacy, ED, Patient and Therapeutic Committee (P&TC) and the institutional ethics review committee, processes evaluated were medicine order entry, processing and dispensing time of eight common emergency conditions that were selected jointly by the P&TC and the departments of Pharmacy, Emergency and Information Technology (IT) by consensus

.....  
<sup>1,4,5</sup>Department of Pharmacy Services, <sup>2</sup>Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, <sup>3,6</sup>Department of Emergency Medicines, The Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan.

**Correspondence:** Asif Khaliq. Email: [asifkhaliq7@gmail.com](mailto:asifkhaliq7@gmail.com)

**Table-1:** Standard orders for selected medical conditions.

S#	Standard order sets (Direct mnemonic)	Doses Ranges Defined in System (min-max)
<b>1</b>	<b>Aspiration Prophylaxis</b>	
	1. Inj. Ranitidine 50mg	Inj. Ranitidine ( 50mg )
	2. Inj. Metoclopramide 10mg	Inj. Metoclopramide 10-20mg
	3. Oral Sodium Citrate	Oral Sodium Citrate 30ml
<b>2</b>	<b>Road Traffic Accident</b>	
	1. Ringer's Lactate (2 bags of 1000 ml )	Ringer's Lactate :2000-4000 ml
	2. Inj. Augmentin 1.2g	Inj. Augmentin: 1.2 g.
	3. Inj. Tetanus toxoid (T.T) 0.5mg	T.T: 0.5ml
	4. Inj. Tetanus immunoglobulin (T.I.G) 250 IU	T.I.G: 250-500 IU
	5. Inj. Ketorolac 30 mg	Inj. Ketorolac : 30-60 mg
<b>3</b>	<b>Hyperkalaemia</b>	
	1. Regular Insulin 10 Units	Regular Insulin:10 Units
	2. Dextrose 25% ( 4 Vials of 25 ml each )	Dextrose: 100ml
	3. Keyaxelate 30g PO & 60g Per rectum	Polystyrene Sodium
	4. Inj. Calcium Gluconate 1 Amp	◆ PO :30-60 g ◆ Rect:30-60g Ca-Gluconate:1000-2000 mg
<b>4</b>	<b>Hepatic encephalopathy</b>	
	1. Inj. Ceftriaxone 2g	Inj. Ceftriaxone: 2g
	2. Tab. Metronidazole 200mg	Tab. Metronidazole 200mg
	3. Lactulose Per Oral (PO)	Lactulose
	4. Lactulose Per rectum	◆ PO :30-60ml ◆ Rectally :120-150 ml
<b>5</b>	<b>Upper GI bleeding</b>	
	1. Inj. Omeprazole 80mg bolus	Inj Omeprazole : 80 mg bolus
	2. Inj. Omeprazole (IV infusion of 8mg/hr.)	Inj Omeprazole :8 mg/hr ( 80 mg Bag )
	3. Piggy N/S 50ml	
<b>6</b>	<b>Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease exacerbation (COPD)</b>	
	1. Ipratropium Bromide 2000 mcg (To Be Given As 500 mcg Every 4 Hourly)	Ipratropium Nebulizer: 500-2000 mcg
	2. Salbutamol Nebs 2.5- 5mg + Salif 10ml	Salbutamol Nebulizer: 2.5-5mg Salif :5-10 ml
	3. Inj. Methylprednisolone 40-60 mg (higher dose of 125 mg can be given for Severe Bronchospasm)	Inj Methylprednisolone ( 40-125 mg )
	4. Piggy Bag 5% Dextrose	
	5. Tab. Clarithromycin 500mg	
	6. Inj. Ceftriaxone 2000mg ( In case If There Is Pneumonic Patch on X Ray Or Signs of Consolidation on Physical Examination )	Piggy Bag 5% dextrose: For Dilution Tab. Clarithromycin: 500 mg Inj. Ceftriaxone: 2 g
<b>7</b>	<b>ASTHMA</b>	
	1. Salbutamol Neb 2.5-5mg	Salbutamol Neb: 2.5-5mg
	2. Salifi ( NS) 10ml	Salifi:5-10ml
	3. Ipratropium Neb 1000mcg (To Be Given As 500 mcg every 4 Hourly)	Ipratropium Neb :500-2000 mcg
	4. Inj. Methylprednisolone 40mg - 60 mg Higher Dose of 125 mg Can Be Given For Acute Severe Asthma (Previously Called Status Asthmaticus)	Inj. Methylprednisolone:40-60 mg Piggy Bag : For Dilution
	5. Piggy Bag 50ml	
<b>8</b>	<b>Anaphylaxis/Allergic Reaction</b>	
	1. Inj. Hydrocortisone 100mg	Inj. Hydrocortisone :100-250 mg
	2. Inj. Clemistine 2mg/Inj. Pheniramine	Inj Pheniramine 45.4mg
	3. Inj. Ranitidine 50 mg	Inj Ranitidine 50mg
	4. Piggy Bag 50ml	Piggy Bag: For Dilution

GI: Gastrointestinal.

**Table-2:** Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) chart for the project.

Phase	Action
P- Plan	◆ The prime aim of this project was to provide safe and timely care to critical patients visiting emergency department of Aga Khan University Hospital.
D- Do	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Common emergency conditions were chosen after through discussion and mutual decision of all the common stakeholders involved in order entry, processing and dispensing.</li> <li>◆ Then 8 common conditions standard orders with pre-selected mnemonics were defined in CPOE system. These pre-selected mnemonics also has description of therapeutic doses ranges, route of administration and drug strength as well.</li> <li>◆ Introductory and refresher training every fortnightly was provided to all the physicians and pharmacists involved in patient care in Emergency department.</li> <li>◆ All the physicians were asked to enter standard order pre-selected mnemonics for the selected medical conditions and standard order medication list was displayed on the physician prescribing screen as a reminder.</li> <li>◆ All the pharmacist were advised to keep standard order pre-filled sets in pharmacy and dispense these pre-filled sets rather than filling them one by one after processing the order.</li> </ul>
S- Study	◆ Medication order entry, processing and dispensing time before and after the implementation of pre-filled standard order medication from physician and pharmacist end
A-Act	◆ Approval from the Patient and therapeutic committee to implement these pre-filled medication standard orders for continuum of care.

based on the frequency of presentation of these conditions in ED.

Standardised pre-filled medication orders were studied for the eight conditions (Table-1): road traffic accidents (RTAs), chronic liver disease, hyperkalaemia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), aspiration prophylaxis, allergic reaction, bleeding prophylaxis and asthma. The standardized medication order entry, processing and dispensing time for adult patients were observed. Neonate and paediatrics populations were excluded because of wide variation in paediatric dosing with respect to weight, age and other vital conditions.

Pre-intervention data related to mean medication order entry, processing and dispensing time from 30 medication orders for each of the 8 conditions from both physicians and pharmacists. The intervention comprised the Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) model of project management (Table-2). Post-intervention data was also collected on the same parameters from 30 orders for each of the 8 conditions.

The data was collected from the Computerised Physician

Order Entry (CPOE) log. It is a medication entry system based on desktop computers. In CPOE order entry, physicians enter all the medications according to patient conditions and within a second, the physician order appears onto the pharmacy order processing screen. The pharmacists at first have to open the medication order entered by the physicians and then review and process the medication order. All information regarding the entry and processing get stored automatically into the institutional medication order and processing system. However, for medication order filling and dispensing time, manual sheets were used. Every prescription label generated from CPOE system indicates order processing time at the bottom.

The physicians also had the option of editing the order as per patients' need, condition and allergic history.

The data was entered first into Excel and then transferred to SPSS version 23.0. All variables were analysed inferentially by using paired sample t-test.

## Results

There were 240 forms each for pre- and post-intervention

**Table-3:** Effect of standard order set on medication order entry time.

Physician End	Order Entry Time (in seconds)		Mean Diff. X=(a)-(b)	95% Confidence interval	N	P-value
	Pre-Intervention (X ± SD) (a)	Post-Intervention (X ± SD) (a)				
Road Traffic Accident	92.5 ± 14.1	33.7 ± 7.3	58.8	(54.4 - 63.3)	30	<0.001
Chronic liver disease	74.2 ± 10.7	22.7 ± 5.7	51.5	(48.9-54.1)	30	<0.001
Hyperkalaemia	99 ± 16.2	20.6 ± 3.7	78.5	(72.6-84.4)	30	<0.001
COPD	56.7 ± 15.3	17.6 ± 3.5	39.1	(33.8-44.5)	30	<0.001
Aspiration Prophylaxis	45.1 ± 10.2	16.9 ± 4.1	28.2	(25.0-31.3)	30	<0.001
Allergic Reaction	49.2 ± 4.5	16.3 ± 3.7	32.9	(31.0-34.8)	30	<0.001
Bleeding Prophylaxis	70.5 ± 10.2	19.5 ± 3.9	51.0	(47.7-54.2)	30	<0.001
Asthma	54.8 ± 17.6	16.7 ± 4	38.1	(32.3-43.9)	30	<0.001
Over All	67.7 ± 22.7	20.5 ± 7.1	47.3	(44.8 - 49.7)	240	<0.001

COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease SD: Standard deviation.

**Table-4:** Effect of standard order sets on medication processing and dispensing time at pharmacist end.

Pharmacist End	Order Processing and Dispensing Time (in seconds)		Mean Diff. X=(a)-(b)	95% Confidence interval	N	P-value
	Pre-Intervention (X ± SD) (a)	Post-Intervention (X ± SD) (a)				
Road Traffic Accident	67.3 ± 9.8	17.7 ± 3.3	49.7	(45.7 - 53.6)	30	<0.001
Chronic liver disease	103.7 ± 16	38.1 ± 8	65.6	(60.9 - 70.2)	30	<0.001
Hyperkalaemia	103.8 ± 13.9	15.7 ± 3.6	88.1	(83.0 - 93.3)	30	<0.001
COPD	56.3 ± 6.9	15.7 ± 2.2	40.6	(38.0 - 43.2)	30	<0.001
Aspiration Prophylaxis	62.6 ± 6.8	14.5 ± 1.7	48.0	(45.9 - 50.2)	30	<0.001
Allergic Reaction	51.3 ± 5.1	18.2 ± 3.8	33.1	(31.0 - 35.2)	30	<0.001
Bleeding Prophylaxis	62.9 ± 10.6	29 ± 2.8	34.0	(30.2 - 37.7)	30	<0.001
Asthma	53.4 ± 8.4	15.5 ± 2.3	37.9	(34.9 - 40.9)	30	<0.001

COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease SD: Standard deviation.

phases; 30(12.5%) for each of the 8 conditions studied. Mean medication order entry and processing time from the physician end improved from 67.7±22.7 seconds to 20.5±7.1 seconds ( $p<0.05$ ) (Table-3). mean medication order entry time from physician end at pharmacist end reduced from 70.0±22.4 to 20.6±8.8 seconds ( $p<0.05$ ) (Table-4).

## Discussion

The study highlighted that disease-based standard order set significantly reduced the time both for physicians and pharmacists from order entry to dispensing. This intervention will potentially have an impact on ED patients as it would improve efficiency by enhancing workflow with pertinent instructions that are easily understood, intuitively organised and suitable for direct application in a busy environment. The standard order sets also have the potential to reduce variation in order entry, medication errors and unintentional oversight through standardised formatting and clear presentation of orders. Indirectly, it can also reduce unnecessary calls to prescribers for clarifications and questions about orders.<sup>10-13</sup>

The current study showed significant reduction in the order entry and order processing timing post-intervention, which has earlier been reported as well.<sup>14,15</sup>

The prime purpose of the current study was to enhance the service efficiency of physicians and pharmacists working in ED. The World Health Assembly (WHA) has also adopted a resolution on emergency care in order to strengthen the trauma and emergency care services.<sup>16,17</sup>

In this study, it was not possible to randomise the physicians and pharmacists and as such, a quasi-experimental design was used which usually measures the effect of intervention without randomisation.<sup>18</sup>

The study has its limitations as it was done at a single

centre and the results cannot be applied to other hospitals blindly. Similar multi-centre studies are recommended.

## Conclusion

Standard order sets represent an excellent way to ensure time-efficient medication administration to all emergency patients. Implementation of disease-based standard order set is a smart approach that reduces the order entry time from physician's end and reduces the order processing and dispensing time at pharmacist's end.

**Disclaimer:** The Abstract was presented at the 7th Medication Safety Conference, 2014, in Abu Dhabi, UAE.

**Conflict of Interest:** None.

**Source of Funding:** None.

## References

1. World Health Organization. Emergency and Trauma Care. [Online] [Cited 2017 September 24]. Available from URL: <http://www.who.int/emergencycare/systems/en/>.
2. Acharya RP, Gastmans C, Denier Y. Emergency department triage: an ethical analysis. *BMC Emerg Med.* 2011; 11:6.
3. Rooney KD, Schilling UM. Point-of-care testing in the overcrowded emergency department-can it make a difference? *Crit Care.* 2014; 18:692.
4. Mehmood A, Khan BA, Khurshed M. Overcrowded emergency departments: A problem looking for solution. *J Pak Med Assoc.* 2012; 62:529-30.
5. Bahadori M, Teymourzadeh E, Ravangard R, Raadabadi M. Factors affecting the overcrowding in outpatient healthcare. *J Educ Health Promot.* 2017; 6:21
6. Morley C, Unwin M, Peterson GM, Stankovich J, Kinsman L. Emergency department crowding: A systematic review of causes, consequences and solutions. *PLoS one.* 2018; 13:e0203316.
7. Blunt I. Focus on preventable admissions. London: Nuffield Trust, 2013.
8. Barker I, Steventon A, Deeny SR. Association between continuity of care in general practice and hospital admissions for ambulatory care sensitive conditions: cross sectional study of routinely collected, person level data. *BMJ.* 2017; 356:j84.

9. D'Souza J, D'souza R, D'silva P, Moily D, Raj AA, John J. Effectiveness of Self-Instructional Module (Sim) On Knowledge Regarding Emergency Drugs Among Health Workers in Selected Phc's of Udupi District. *I-Man J Nurs.* 2016; 6:27-31.
  10. Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) Design of pre-printed cancer chemotherapy order forms is critical for patient safety. *ISMP Medication Safety Alert.* 1996;1:2-4.
  11. Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) Designing pre-printed order forms that prevent medication errors. *ISMP Medication Safety Alert!* [Online] [Cited [Cited 2013 November 22]]. Available from URL: [www.ismp.org/Newsletters/acutecare/articles/19970423.asp](http://www.ismp.org/Newsletters/acutecare/articles/19970423.asp).
  12. Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) It's time for standards to improve safety with electronic communication of medication orders. [Online] [Cited 2013 November 22]. Available from URL: <http://www.ismp.org/Newsletters/acutecare/articles/20030220.asp>.
  13. Ehringer G, Duffy B. Promoting best practice and safety through pre-printed physician orders. In: Henriksen K, Battles JB, Keyes MA, Grady ML, eds. *Advances in Patient Safety: New Directions and Alternative Approaches.* Vol. 2. Rockville: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2008; pp-22-56.
  14. Mayorga CA, Rockey DC. Clinical utility of a standardized electronic order set for the management of acute upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage in patients with cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2013; 11:1342-8.
  15. Silvester KM, Mohammed MA, Harriman P, Girolami A, Downes TW. Timely care for frail older people referred to hospital improves efficiency and reduces mortality without the need for extra resources. *Age Ageing.* 2014; 43:472-7.
  16. Mock C, Arafat R, Chadbunchachai W, Joshipura M, Goosen J. What World Health Assembly Resolution 60.22 means to those who care for the injured. *World J Surg.* 2008; 32:1636-42.
  17. Mock C. WHA resolution on trauma and emergency care services. *Inj Prev.* 2007; 13:285-6.
  18. Harris AD, McGregor JC, Perencevich EN, Furuno JP, Zhu J, Peterson DE, et al. The use and interpretation of quasi-experimental studies in medical informatics. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2006; 13:16-23.
-